

Basics of noncommutative geometry

DEFINITION 7. A **spectral triple** $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is given by an unital $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} represented as operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a self-adjoint operator D such that $(1 + D^2)^{-1}$ is a compact operator and $[D, a]$ bounded for $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

A spectral triple is **even** if the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is endowed with a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading γ such that $[\gamma, a] = 0$ and $\{\gamma, D\} = 0$.

A **real structure** of KO -dimension $n \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ on a spectral triple is an antilinear isometry $J : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$J^2 = \varepsilon, \quad JD = \varepsilon' DJ, \quad J\gamma = \varepsilon'' \gamma J \quad (\text{even case}).$$

The numbers $\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \varepsilon'' \in \{-1, 1\}$ are a function of $n \pmod 8$:

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
ε	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1
ε'	1	-1	1	1	1	-1	1	1
ε''	1		-1		1		-1	

Moreover, with $b^0 = Jb^*J^{-1}$ we impose that

$$[a, b^0] = 0, \quad [[D, a], b^0] = 0, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A},$$

A spectral triple with a real structure is called a **real spectral triple**.

The basic example is the commutative spin geometry of a Riemannian spin manifold given by the triple

- $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M)$, the algebra of smooth functions on M .
- $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M, S)$, the Hilbert space of square integrable sections of a spinor bundle $S \rightarrow M$.
- D , the Dirac operator associated with the Levi-Civita connection.

If the manifold is even dimensional, there is a grading defined by $\Gamma := -\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \dots \gamma^{\dim M}$, where γ^μ are the Dirac gamma matrices satisfying $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}$. The real structure is given by the charge conjugation.

A spectral triple is called **regular** (or **smooth**) if the algebra generated by \mathcal{A} and $[D, \mathcal{A}]$ lies within the smooth domain $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Dom } \delta^n$ of the operator derivation $\delta(T) := |D|T - T|D|$. This condition permits to introduce the analogue of Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{H}^s := \text{Dom}(1 + D^2)^{s/2}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. One can develop this theory to an abstract differential calculus, cf. the notes by Higson.

DEFINITION 8. The **dimension spectrum** of a regular spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is the subset $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ of singularities of the meromorphic functions

$$\zeta_b(z) = \text{Tr}(b|D|^{-z})$$

where b is an element in the algebra generated by $\delta^k(\mathcal{A})$ and $\delta^k([D, \mathcal{A}])$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Corresponding to the direct product of manifolds, one can take the product of spectral triples as follows. Suppose $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, D_1, \gamma_1, J_1)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{H}_2, D_2, \gamma_2, J_2)$ are even real spectral triples, then we define the (exterior) **product spectral triple** by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &= \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \\ \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \\ D &= D_1 \otimes 1 + \gamma_1 \otimes D_2 \\ \gamma &= \gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2 \\ J &= J_1 \otimes J_2\end{aligned}$$

Note that $D^2 = D_1^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D_2^2$ since the cross-terms vanish due to $\gamma_1 D_1 = -D_1 \gamma_1$. The dimension spectrum Σ of the product is the sum (in \mathbb{C}) of $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$.

1. Noncommutative differential forms

p:diff-calc

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra with unit over \mathbb{C} . The universal differential algebra $\Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A})$ is the graded algebra generated by $a \in \mathcal{A}$ of degree 0 and symbols δa , $a \in \mathcal{A}$ of degree 1, such that

$$\delta(ab) = (\delta a)b + a\delta b \quad \delta(\alpha a + \beta b) = \alpha\delta a + \beta\delta b; \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}).$$

We can write $\Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A})$ as a direct sum of subspaces $\Omega_{\text{un}}^p(\mathcal{A})$ generated by linear combinations of $a_0 \delta a_1 \cdots \delta a_p$. Furthermore, there is the isomorphism of vector spaces

forms-chains

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{A} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes p} \simeq \Omega_{\text{un}}^p(\mathcal{A}),$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A}/\text{Cl}$. The operator δ is defined on $\Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A})$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(a_0 \delta a_1 \cdots \delta a_p) &= \delta a_0 \delta a_1 \cdots \delta a_p, \\ \delta(\delta a_1 \cdots \delta a_p) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

By construction, the algebra $\Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A})$ is also a \mathcal{A} -bimodule. As the name suggests, the universal differential algebra satisfies the following universal property.

PROPOSITION 9. *Let (Ω, d) be a graded differential algebra and let ρ be a morphism of unital algebras. Then, there exists a unique extension of ρ to a morphism of graded differential algebras $\tilde{\rho}: \Omega_{\text{un}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\tilde{\rho} \circ \delta = d \circ \tilde{\rho}$.*

An example of a frequently used differential calculus in the text and more generally, in noncommutative geometry, is Connes' differential calculus [?]. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ be a spectral triple. The \mathcal{A} -bimodule $\Omega_D^p(\mathcal{A})$ of Connes' differential p -forms is made of classes of operators of the form

$$\omega = \sum_j a_0^j [D, a_1^j] \cdots [D, a_p^j], \quad a_i^j \in \mathcal{A},$$

modulo the sub-bimodule of operators

$$\left\{ \sum_j [D, b_0^j] [D, b_1^j] \cdots [D, b_{p-1}^j] : b_i^j \in \mathcal{A}, b_0^j [D, b_1^j] \cdots [D, b_{p-1}^j] = 0 \right\}.$$

The exterior differential d_D is given by

$$d_D \left[\sum_j a_0^j [D, a_1^j] \cdots [D, a_p^j] \right] = \sum_j [D, a_0^j] [D, a_1^j] \cdots [D, a_p^j].$$

In the case of the canonical triple $(C^\infty(M), \mathcal{H}, D)$ of a Riemannian spin manifold M , this differential calculus is isomorphic to the de Rham differential calculus.

Notes on *Noncommutative Geometry and Physics*, Walter D. van Suijlekom (draft April 28, 2010)

2. Modules and connections

connections

We recall some basic definitions on modules and connections thereon. We derive a general Bianchi identity for the curvature of such connections and link with gauge theory.

2.1. Modules

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra over the complex numbers \mathbb{C} .

DEFINITION 10. A right module \mathcal{E} is a vector space over \mathbb{C} that carries a right representation of \mathcal{A} , i.e. there is a map $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{A} \ni (\eta, a) \rightarrow \eta a$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(ab) &= (\eta a)b, \\ \eta(a+b) &= \eta a + \eta b, \\ (\eta + \xi)a &= \eta a + \xi a,\end{aligned}$$

for any $\eta, \xi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

There is the natural notion of a morphism of (right) \mathcal{A} -modules as linear maps that respect this structure. Thus, a morphism between two (right) \mathcal{A} -modules \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} is a linear map $\rho : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ that is also right \mathcal{A} -linear:

$$\rho(\eta a) = \rho(\eta)a; \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{E}, a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Left modules and morphisms of left modules are defined similarly. A *bimodule* over an algebra \mathcal{A} is both a left and a right \mathcal{A} -module such that the left and right action of \mathcal{A} commute:

$$(a\eta)b = a(\eta b); \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{E}, a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Given a right \mathcal{A} -module, we define its *dual module* \mathcal{E}' as the collection of all morphisms from \mathcal{E} into \mathcal{A} , where \mathcal{A} is seen as the trivial right \mathcal{A} -module; in other words:

$$\mathcal{E}' := \{ \phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \mid \phi(\eta a) = \phi(\eta)a, \eta \in \mathcal{E}, a \in \mathcal{A} \}.$$

DEFINITION 11. A right \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} is said to be finite projective if there exists an idempotent $p = p^2 \in M_N(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\mathcal{E} \simeq p\mathcal{A}^N$ as right \mathcal{A} -modules.

Here $M_N(\mathcal{A}) \simeq M_N(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}$ denotes the algebra of $N \times N$ matrices with entries in \mathcal{A} whereas $\mathcal{A}^N := \mathbb{C}^n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}$ which can be thought of as the set of N -dimensional vectors with entries in \mathcal{A} , and is clearly a right \mathcal{A} -module.

2.2. Connections

Let us suppose we have an algebra \mathcal{A} with a differential calculus $(\Omega(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_p \Omega^p(\mathcal{A}), d)$. We now review the notion of a (gauge) connection on a (finite projective) module \mathcal{E} over \mathcal{A} with respect to the given calculus; we take a right module structure.

A *connection* on the right \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} is a \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$\nabla : \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^p(\mathcal{A}) \mapsto \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^{p+1}(\mathcal{A}),$$

defined for any $p \geq 0$, and satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$\nabla(\omega\rho) = (\nabla\omega)\rho + (-1)^p \omega d\rho, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega^p(\mathcal{A}), \rho \in \Omega(\mathcal{A}).$$

A connection is completely determined by its restriction

$$\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}),$$

which satisfies

$$\nabla(\eta a) = (\nabla\eta)a + \eta \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} da, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{E}, a \in \mathcal{A},$$

Notes on *Noncommutative Geometry and Physics*, Walter D. van Suijlekom (draft April 28, 2010)

and which is extended by the Leibniz rule. It is the latter property that implies that the composition,

$$\nabla^2 = \nabla \circ \nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega^2(\mathcal{A}) ,$$

is $\Omega(\mathcal{A})$ -linear. Indeed, for any $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathcal{A})$, $\rho \in \Omega(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2(\omega\rho) &= \nabla((\nabla\omega)\rho + (-1)^p\omega d\rho) \\ &= (\nabla^2\omega)\rho + (-1)^{p+1}(\nabla\omega)d\rho + (-1)^p(\nabla\omega)d\rho + \omega d^2\rho \\ &= (\nabla^2\omega)\rho . \end{aligned}$$

The restriction of ∇^2 to \mathcal{E} is the *curvature*

$$(2.1) \quad F : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^2(\mathcal{A}) ,$$

of the connection. It is \mathcal{A} -linear, $F(\eta a) = F(\eta)a$ for any $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and satisfies

$$\nabla^2(\eta \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \rho) = F(\eta)\rho , \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{E} , \rho \in \Omega(\mathcal{A}) .$$

Thus, $F \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^2(\mathcal{A}))$, the collection of (right) \mathcal{A} -linear endomorphisms of \mathcal{E} , taking values in the two-forms $\Omega^2\mathcal{A}$.

Connections always exist on a projective module. On the free module $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{A}^N$, a connection is given by the operator

$$\nabla_0 = 1 \otimes d : \mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega^p(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega^{p+1}(\mathcal{A}) .$$

With the canonical identification $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega(\mathcal{A}) \simeq (\Omega(\mathcal{A}))^N$, one thinks of ∇_0 as acting on $(\Omega(\mathcal{A}))^N$ as the operator $\nabla_0 = (d, d, \dots, d)$ (N -times).

For a generic projective module \mathcal{E} one has a canonical inclusion map, $\lambda : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^N$, which identifies \mathcal{E} as a direct summand of the free module \mathcal{A}^N and a canonical idempotent $p : \mathcal{A}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ which allows to identify $\mathcal{E} = p\mathcal{A}^N$. Using these maps as well as their natural extension to \mathcal{E} -valued forms, on \mathcal{E} a connection ∇_0 is given by the composition

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^p(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega^p(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes d} \mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega^{p+1}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{p} \Omega^{p+1}(\mathcal{A})$$

(we have also used canonical identifications for the free module). This connection is called the *Levi-Civita* or *Grassmann connection* and is explicitly given by

$$\nabla_0 = p \circ (1 \otimes d) \circ \lambda$$

although one simply indicates it by

ugras

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla_0 = pd.$$

3. Unitary and Morita equivalence of spectral triples

In the previous chapter we have seen the prominent role that is played by symmetries in physics. We now consider symmetries of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, \gamma, J)$, an even real spectral triple. The first candidate is unitary equivalence.

DEFINITION 12. *Two spectral triples $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, D_1)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{H}_2, D_2)$ are called **unitary equivalent** if $\mathcal{A}_1 \simeq \mathcal{A}_2$ and there exists a unitary intertwining operator $U : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} U\pi_1(a)U^* &= \pi_2(a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}_1) \\ UD_1U^* &= D_2 \end{aligned}$$

If there exist grading operators γ_1, γ_2 then we also demand that $U\gamma_1U^ = \gamma_2$. If there exist real structures J_1, J_2 then we also demand that $UJ_1U^* = J_2$.*

Notes on Noncommutative Geometry and Physics, Walter D. van Suijlekom (draft April 28, 2010)

As a special case, we consider the **gauge group** $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, defined as the unitary elements in the algebra \mathcal{A} of a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$. It implements unitary transformations from the spectral triple to itself, transforming

$$D \mapsto D + u[D, u^*].$$

If the spectral triple is real, the unitary intertwiner is given by $U = uJu^*J^{-1}$ for $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, thus transforming

$$D \mapsto D + u[D, u^*] + \epsilon' Ju[D, u^*]J^{-1}.$$

Effectively, the unitary group acts as automorphisms on \mathcal{A} by conjugation, $a \mapsto uau^*$. Such automorphisms are called **inner**, in contrast to the outer automorphisms which are defined as the quotient $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})/\text{Inn}(\mathcal{A})$. This is nicely summarized by

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Inn}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 1.$$

Note that if $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M)$ is commutative, there are no non-trivial inner automorphisms and $\text{Out}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Diff}(M)$.

We have seen that a non-abelian gauge group appears naturally when \mathcal{A} is noncommutative. Even more, noncommutative algebras allow for a more general – and more natural – notion of equivalence than automorphisms, namely, Morita equivalence. Let us see if we can lift Morita equivalence to the level of spectral triples.

Recall that given an algebra \mathcal{A} , a Morita equivalent algebra \mathcal{B} is the algebra of endomorphisms of a finite projective (right) module \mathcal{E} over \mathcal{A} ,

$$\mathcal{B} = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ be a given spectral triple and try to construct a spectral triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}', D')$. Naturally, $\mathcal{H}' := \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{H}$ carries an action of $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$:

$$\phi(\eta \otimes \psi) = \phi(\eta) \otimes \psi \quad (\eta \in \mathcal{E}, \psi \in \mathcal{H}).$$

The naive choice of an operator D' by $D'(\eta \otimes \psi) = \eta \otimes D\psi$ will not do, because it does not respect the ideal defining the tensor product over \mathcal{A} , being generated by elements of the form

$$\eta a \otimes \psi - \eta \otimes a\psi; \quad (\eta \in \mathcal{E}, a \in \mathcal{A}, \psi \in \mathcal{H}).$$

A better definition is

$$D'(\eta \otimes \psi) = \eta \otimes D\psi + \nabla(\eta)\psi.$$

where $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega_D^1(\mathcal{A})$ is a connection associated to the differential $d : a \mapsto [D, a]$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$).

m:morita-st

THEOREM 13. *If $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is a spectral triple and ∇ is a connection on a finite projective right \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} , then $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{H}, \nabla \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D)$ is a spectral triple.*

Analogously, we define for a real spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, J)$ a real spectral triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}', D', J')$ by setting $\mathcal{H}' := \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}^\circ$. Here \mathcal{E}° is the **conjugate module** to \mathcal{E} :

$$\mathcal{E}^\circ = \{\bar{\xi} : \xi \in \mathcal{E}\}$$

with a left \mathcal{A} action defined by $a\bar{\xi} = \overline{\xi a^*}$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Still $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ acts on \mathcal{H}' by

$$\phi(\eta \otimes \psi \otimes \bar{\xi}) = \phi(\eta) \otimes \psi \otimes \bar{\xi}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D'(\eta \otimes \psi \otimes \bar{\xi}) &= (\nabla\eta)\psi \otimes \bar{\xi} + \eta \otimes D\psi \otimes \bar{\xi} + \eta \otimes \psi \otimes (\overline{\nabla\xi}) \\ J'(\eta \otimes \psi \otimes \bar{\xi}) &= \xi \otimes J\psi \otimes \bar{\eta} \end{aligned}$$

ita-st-real

THEOREM 14. *If $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, J)$ is a real spectral triple, then $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}^\circ, \nabla \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \overline{\nabla})$ is a real spectral triple.*

Notes on *Noncommutative Geometry and Physics*, Walter D. van Suijlekom (draft April 28, 2010)

Finally, for even spectral triples one defines a grading $\gamma' = 1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1$ on $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}^\circ$.

We now focus on **Morita self-equivalences**, for which $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ and consequently $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{A}$. Let us look at connections

$$\nabla : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega_D^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

Clearly, by the Leibniz rule $\nabla = d + A$ where $A \equiv \nabla(1) = \sum_j a_j [D, b_j]$ is a generic element in $\Omega_D^1(\mathcal{A})$. Similarly, $\psi \overline{\nabla} \bar{a} = (\epsilon' J d a J^{-1} + \epsilon' J A a J^{-1}) \psi$. Since $\mathcal{H}' \simeq \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$D'(\psi) \equiv D'(1 \otimes \psi \otimes \bar{1}) = \nabla(1)\psi + \psi \overline{\nabla}(\bar{1}) + D\psi = D\psi + A\psi + \epsilon' J A J^{-1} \psi.$$

In other words, D is ‘innerly perturbed’ to $D_A := D + A + \epsilon' J A J^{-1}$ where $A^* = A \in \Omega_D^1(\mathcal{A})$ is called the **gauge field**. Another name used for these fields is **inner fluctuations** of the Dirac operator, since it is the algebra \mathcal{A} that generates – through Morita self-equivalences – the fields A .

On the new spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D_A)$ there is an action of the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ by unitary equivalences. Recall that $U = u J u^* J^{-1}$ so that

$$D_A \mapsto U D_A U^*$$

or, equivalently,

$$A \mapsto u A u^* + u [D, u^*]$$

which is the usual rule for a gauge transformation on a gauge field.

3.1. Spectral action functional

Having identified the gauge group canonically associated to a spectral triple, and derived the gauge fields, we are ready to find action functionals of these fields that are invariant under the gauge group.

DEFINITION 15. *Let f be a positive and even function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} . The **spectral action** is defined by*

$$S_b[A] := \text{Tr } f(D_A/\Lambda)$$

where Λ is a real cutoff parameter. The fermionic action is defined as the inner product

$$S_f[A, \psi] := (\psi, D_A \psi).$$

We will assume that f is given by a Laplace–Stieltjes transform:

$$f(x) = \int_{t>0} e^{-tx^2} d\mu(t).$$

with μ a measure on \mathbb{R} , and further that there exists the following **heat kernel expansion**:

$$\text{Tr } e^{-tD^2} = \sum_{\alpha} t^{\alpha} c_{\alpha}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Note that this is defined for the unperturbed operator D , but similar expression hold for any bounded perturbation such as D_A of D .

LEMMA 16. *For $\alpha < 0$ we have*

$$\text{res}_{z=-2\alpha} \zeta_1(z) = \frac{2c_{\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}$$

with $\zeta_b(z) = \text{Tr } b|D|^{-z}$ as before.

Notes on Noncommutative Geometry and Physics, Walter D. van Suijlekom (draft April 28, 2010)

PROOF. This follows from the Mellin transform:

$$|D|^{-z} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-tD^2} t^{z/2-1} dt$$

or, after inserting the heat expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} |D|^{-z} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(z/2)} \sum_\alpha \int_0^\infty c_\alpha t^{\alpha+z/2-1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(z/2)} \sum_\alpha \int_0^1 c_\alpha t^{\alpha+z/2-1} dt + \text{holomorphic} \\ &= \sum_\alpha \frac{c_\alpha}{\Gamma(z/2)(\alpha + z/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking residues at $z = -2\alpha$ on both sides gives the desired result. \square

Using the Laplace–Stieltjes transform, we now derive an asymptotic expansion of the spectral in terms of the heat coefficients c_α .

PROPOSITION 17. *Let Σ be the dimension spectrum of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tr} f(D/\Lambda) = \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma \cup 0} f_\beta \Lambda^\beta \frac{\Gamma(\beta/2)}{2} c_{-\frac{1}{2}\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-1})$$

where $f_\beta := \int_0^\infty f(v)v^{\beta-1}dv$ and $f_0 := f(0)$.

PROOF. Inserting the heat expansion in the Laplace–Stieltjes transform gives

$$\mathrm{Tr} f(D/\Lambda) = \int_{t>0} t^\alpha \Lambda^{-2\alpha} c_\alpha d\mu(t).$$

The terms with $\alpha > 0$ are of order Λ^{-1} ; if $\alpha \leq 0$, then

$$t^\alpha = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{v>0} e^{-tv} v^{-\alpha-1} dv.$$

Applying this to the above intergral gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{-2\alpha} c_\alpha \int_{t>0} t^\alpha d\mu(t) &= \Lambda^{-2\alpha} c_\alpha \int_{t>0} \int_{v>0} e^{-tv} v^{-\alpha-1} dv d\mu(t) \\ &= \Lambda^{-2\alpha} c_\alpha \int_{t>0} \int_{v>0} e^{-tv^2} v^{-2\alpha-1} dv d\mu(t) \\ &= \Lambda^{-2\alpha} c_\alpha \int_{v>0} f(v) v^{-2\alpha-1} dv \equiv \Lambda^{-2\alpha} c_\alpha f_{-2\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

substituting $v \mapsto v^2$ in the going to the second line. Since $c_\alpha = 0$ unless $-2\alpha \in \Sigma$ we substitute $\beta = -2\alpha$ to obtain the claimed formula. \square

COROLLARY 18. *For the perturbed operator D_A we have*

$$S_b[A] = \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma \cup 0} f_\beta \Lambda^\beta \frac{\Gamma(\beta/2)}{2} \mathrm{res}_{z=\beta} \mathrm{Tr} |D_A|^{-z} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-1})$$

