

# Geometric spaces at finite resolution

Walter van Suijlekom

## Spectral geometry: origins






*H.A. Lorentz door Jan Veth*

“Hierbei entseht das mathematische Problem, zu beweisen, dass die Anzahl der genügend hohen Obertöne zwischen  $n$  und  $n + dn$  unabhängig von der Gestalt der Hülle und nur ihrem Volumen proportional ist.”


“Here arises the mathematical problem of proving that the number of sufficiently high harmonics between  $n$  and  $n + dn$  is independent of the shape of the envelope and proportional only to its volume.”

## Weyl's Law

$$N(\Lambda) = \#\text{wave numbers} \leq \Lambda$$
$$\sim \frac{\Omega_d \text{Vol}(M)}{d(2\pi)^d} \Lambda^d$$



Evidence by the parabolic shapes ( $\sqrt{\Lambda}$ ):



## A spectral approach to geometry



*"Can one hear the shape of a drum?" (Kac, 1966)*



Or, more precisely, given a Riemannian manifold  $M$ , does the **spectrum of wave numbers**  $k$  in the **Helmholtz equation**

$$\Delta_M u = k^2 u$$

determine the **geometry of  $M$** ?

Similarly, for a Riemannian spin manifold and Dirac operator  $D_M$  (so that  $D_M^2 = \Delta_M + \frac{1}{4}\kappa$ )

## Isospectral drums



so answer to Kac's question is **no**

## Noncommutative geometry



*If combined with the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(M)$ , then the answer to Kac's question is affirmative.*

*Connes' reconstruction theorem [2008]:*

$$(C(M), L^2(S_M), D_M) \longleftrightarrow (M, g)$$

## The spectral approach to geometry


Given cpt Riemannian spin manifold  $(M, g)$  with spinor bundle  $S_M$  on  $M$ .

- the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(M)$
- the self-adjoint Dirac operator  $D_M$
- both acting on Hilbert space  $L^2(S_M)$

↪ spectral triple:  $(C(M), L^2(S_M), D_M)$

Reconstruction of distance function [Connes 1994]:

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{f \in C(M)} \{ |ev_x(f) - ev_y(f)| : \|[D_M, f]\| \leq 1 \}$$



## Spectral triples

More generally, we consider a triple  $(A, \mathcal{H}, D)$

- a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$
- a self-adjoint operator  $D$  (satisfying suitable properties...)
- both acting (boundedly, resp. unboundedly) on Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$

Generalized distance function:

- States are positive linear functionals  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of norm 1
- Pure states are extreme points of state space
- Distance function on state space of  $A$ :

$$d(\phi, \psi) = \sup_{a \in A} \{ |\phi(a) - \psi(a)| : \|[D, a]\| \leq 1 \}$$



## Spectral data

- The mathematical reformulation of geometry in terms of spectral data requires the knowledge of **all eigenvalues** of the Dirac operator.
- From a physical standpoint this is **not very realistic**: detectors have limited energy ranges and resolution.
- We aim for the underlying mathematical formalism for **doing (noncommutative) geometry with only part of the spectrum and/or with finite resolution**.

This is in line with [D'Andrea–Lizzi–Martinetti 2014], [Glaser–Stern 2019] and **based on [Connes–vS] (CMP, Szeged)**

## Towards operator systems..

- (I) Given  $(A, \mathcal{H}, D)$  we project onto part of the spectrum of  $D$ :
- $\mathcal{H} \mapsto P\mathcal{H}$ , projection onto closed Hilbert subspace
  - $D \mapsto PDP$ , still a self-adjoint operator
  - $A \mapsto PAP$ , this is not an algebra any more (unless  $P \in A$ )

Instead,  $PAP$  is an operator system:  $(PaP)^* = Pa^*P$ .

- (II) Another approach would be to consider metric spaces up to a finite resolution :
- Consider integral operators associated to the tolerance relation  $R_\epsilon$  given by  $d(x, y) < \epsilon$

So first, some background on operator systems.

## Operator systems

**Definition (Arveson 1969, Choi-Effros 1977)**

An **operator system** is a  $*$ -closed vector space  $E$  of bounded operators.

**Unital:** it contains the identity operator.

- $E$  is **ordered**: cone  $E_+ \subseteq E$  of positive operators, in the sense that  $T \in E_+$  iff

$$\langle \psi, T\psi \rangle \geq 0; \quad (\psi \in \mathcal{H}).$$

- in fact,  $E$  is **matrix ordered**: cones  $M_n(E)_+ \subseteq M_n(E)$  of positive operators on  $\mathcal{H}^n$  for any  $n$ .

Maps between operator systems  $E, F$  are **completely positive maps** in the sense that their extensions  $M_n(E) \rightarrow M_n(F)$  are positive for all  $n$ .

Isomorphisms are **complete order isomorphisms**

## State spaces of operator systems

- The existence of a cone  $E_+ \subseteq E$  of positive elements allows to speak of states on  $E$  as **positive linear functionals of norm 1**.
- In the **finite-dimensional case**, the **dual**  $E^d$  of a unital operator system is a unital operator system with

$$E_+^d = \{ \phi \in E^d : \phi(T) \geq 0, \forall T \in E_+ \}$$

and similarly for the matrix order.

- Also, we have  $(E^d)_+^d \cong E_+$  as cones in  $(E^d)^d \cong E$ .
- It follows that we have the following useful correspondence:  
**pure states on  $E$   $\longleftrightarrow$  extreme rays in  $(E^d)_+$**   
and the other way around.

## Spectral truncation of the circle: Toeplitz matrices

- Eigenvectors of  $D_{S^1}$  are **Fourier modes**  $e_k(t) = e^{ikt}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$
- **Orthogonal projection**  $P = P_n$  onto  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$
- The space  $C(S^1)^{(n)} := PC(S^1)P$  is an **operator system**
- Any  $T = PfP$  in  $C(S^1)^{(n)}$  can be written as a **Toeplitz matrix**

$$PfP \sim (t_{k-l})_{kl} = \begin{pmatrix} t_0 & t_{-1} & \cdots & t_{-n+2} & t_{-n+1} \\ t_1 & t_0 & t_{-1} & & t_{-n+2} \\ \vdots & t_1 & t_0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{n-2} & & \ddots & \ddots & t_{-1} \\ t_{n-1} & t_{n-2} & \cdots & t_1 & t_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Dual operator system: Fejér–Riesz

We introduce the Fejér–Riesz operator system  $C^*(\mathbb{Z})_{(n)}$ :

- functions on  $S^1$  with a finite number of non-zero Fourier coefficients:

$$a = (\dots, 0, a_{-n+1}, a_{-n+2}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}, 0, \dots)$$

- an element  $a$  is positive iff  $\sum_k a_k e^{ikx}$  is a positive function on  $S^1$ .

### **Proposition**

1. The extreme rays in  $(C^*(\mathbb{Z})_{(n)})_+$  are given by the elements  $a = (a_k)$  for which the Laurent series  $\sum_k a_k z^k$  has all its zeroes on  $S^1$ .
2. The pure states of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z})_{(n)}$  are given by  $a \mapsto \sum_k a_k \lambda^k$  ( $\lambda \in S^1$ ).


## Pure states on the Toeplitz matrices

Duality of  $C(S^1)^{(n)}$  and  $C^*(\mathbb{Z})_{(n)}$  [Connes–vS 2020] and [Farenick 2021]:

$$C(S^1)^{(n)} \times C^*(\mathbb{Z})_{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$
$$(T = (t_{k-l})_{k,l}, a = (a_k)) \mapsto \sum_k a_k t_{-k}$$

### Proposition

1. The **extreme rays** in  $C(S^1)^{(n)}_+$  are  $\gamma(\lambda) = |f_\lambda\rangle\langle f_\lambda|$  for any  $\lambda \in S^1$ .
2. The **pure state space**  $\mathcal{P}(C(S^1)^{(n+1)}) \cong \mathbb{T}^n/S_n$ .



## Curiosities on Toeplitz matrices

### **Theorem (Carathéodory)**

Let  $T$  be an  $n \times n$  Toeplitz matrix. Then  $T \geq 0$  iff  $T = V\Delta V^*$  with

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & & \\ & d_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & d_n \end{pmatrix}; \quad V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_n \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{n-1} & \lambda_2^{n-1} & \cdots & \lambda_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

for some  $d_1, \dots, d_n \geq 0$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in S^1$ .




## Convergence to the circle

[vS 2021] and [Hekkelman, 2021] study the Gromov–Hausdorff convergence of the state spaces  $\mathcal{S}(C(S^1)^{(n)})$  with the distance function  $d_n$  to the circle.

- The map  $R_n : C(S^1) \rightarrow C(S^1)^{(n)}$  given by compression with  $P_n$  allows to pull-back states from  $C(S^1)^{(n)}$  to the circle
- There is a  **$C^1$ -approximate order inverse**  $S_n : C(S^1)^{(n)} \rightarrow C(S^1)$ :

$$R_n(S_n(T)) = T_n \odot T; \quad S_n(R_n(f)) = F_n * f$$


in terms of a Schur product with a matrix  $T_n$  and the convolution with the Fejér kernel  $F_n$ :



- The fact that  $S_n$  is a  $C^1$ -approximate inverse of  $R_n$  implies that

$$d_{S^1}(\phi, \psi) - 2\gamma_n \leq d_n(\phi \circ S_n, \psi \circ S_n) \leq d_{S^1}(\phi, \psi)$$

where  $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .



### ***Proposition (vS21, Hekkelman 2021)***

*The sequence of state spaces  $\{(\mathcal{S}(C(S^1)^{(n)}), d_n)\}$  converges to  $(\mathcal{S}(C(S^1)), d_{S^1})$  in Gromov–Hausdorff distance.*

**Also:** cubic truncations of  $\mathbb{T}^d$  [Berendschot 2019], fuzzy spheres [Rieffel 2000], quantum fuzzy spheres [Aguilar–Kaad–Kyed, 2021], Fourier truncations [Rieffel 2022], spectral truncations of tori [Leimbach 2022],

## Operator systems associated to tolerance relations

- Suppose that  $X$  is a set and consider a relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times X$  on  $X$  that is reflexive, symmetric but not necessarily transitive.
- Key motivating example: a metric space  $(X, d)$  with the relation

$$\mathcal{R}_\epsilon := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$$

- If  $(X, \mu)$  is a measure space and  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times X$  an open subset we obtain the operator system  $E(\mathcal{R})$  as the closure of integral operators with support in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Note that  $E(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(L^2(X))$

## Tolerance relations on finite sets [Gielen–vS, 2022]

Let  $X$  be a finite set and  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times X$  a symmetric reflexive relation on  $X$

1. Can be realized as  $\mathcal{R}_{\epsilon=3/2}$  in terms of graph metric on  $X$ .
2. If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a chordal graph, then  $E(\mathcal{R})^d \cong E(\mathcal{R})$  as a vector space, but with order structure given by being **partially positive**.
3. Concrete realization of  $E(\mathcal{R})^d$  in terms of cliques  $C$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ :

$$\Phi : E(\mathcal{R})^d \rightarrow \bigoplus_{C \in \mathcal{C}} M_{|C|}(\mathbb{C}); \quad (x_{ij}) \mapsto ((x_{ij})_{i,j \in C})_{C \in \mathcal{C}}$$

4. the **pure states** of  $E(\mathcal{R})$  are given by vector states  $|v\rangle\langle v|$  for which the support of  $v \in \ell^2(X)$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -connected.

### **Example**

The operator systems of  $p \times p$  **band matrices** with band width  $N$ .

The dual operator system consists of **partially defined band matrices**.

## Spaces at finite resolution [Connes-vS, 2021]

Consider now a path metric measure space  $X$  with a measure of full support, and the following tolerance relation:

$$\mathcal{R}_\epsilon := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$$

It gives rise to the operator system  $E(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(L^2(X))$ .

### **Proposition**

If  $X$  is a complete and locally compact path metric measure space  $X$  with a measure of full support, then the *pure states* of  $E(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon)$  are given by vector states  $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  where the essential support of  $\psi \in L^2(X)$  is  *$\epsilon$ -connected*.