Operator systems and noncommutative geometry

Walter van Suijlekom

Radboud Universiteit

The spectral approach to geometry

Given cpt Riemannian spin manifold (M, g) with spinor bundle S_M on M.

- the C^*-algebra $C(M)$
- the self-adjoint Dirac operator D_M
- both acting on Hilbert space $L^2(S_M)$

$\rightsquigarrow$ spectral triple: $(C(M), L^2(S_M), D_M)$

Reconstruction of distance function [Connes 1994]:

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{f \in C(M)} \{|\mathrm{ev}_x(f) - \mathrm{ev}_y(f)| : \|[D_M, f]\| \leq 1\}$$



Spectral triples

More generally, we consider a triple $(A, \mathcal{H}, D)$

- a C^*-algebra A
- a self-adjoint operator D with compact resolvent and bounded commutators $[D, a]$ for $a \in \mathcal{A} \subset A$
- both acting (boundedly, resp. unboundedly) on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$

Generalized distance function:

- States are positive linear functionals $\phi : A \to \mathbb{C}$ of norm 1
- Pure states are extreme points of state space
- Distance function on state space of A:

$$d(\phi, \psi) = \sup_{a \in A} \{ |\phi(a) - \psi(a)| : \|[D, a]\| \leq 1 \}$$

Spectral data: $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$

- The mathematical reformulation of geometry in terms of spectral data (global analysis) requires the knowledge of the full Dirac operator.
- From a physical standpoint this is not very realistic: detectors have limited energy ranges and resolution.
- We aim for the underlying mathematical formalism for doing (noncommutative) geometry with only part of the spectrum and/or with finite resolution.

This is in line with [D'Andrea–Lizzi–Martinetti 2014], [Glaser–Stern 2019] and based on [Connes–vS] (CMP, Szeged)

Operator systems

(I) Given $(A, \mathcal{H}, D)$ we project onto part of the spectrum of D:

- $\mathcal{H} \mapsto P\mathcal{H}$, projection onto closed Hilbert subspace
- $D \mapsto PDP$, still a self-adjoint operator
- $A \mapsto PAP$, this is not an algebra any more (unless $P \in A$)

Instead, PAP is an operator system: $(PaP)^* = Pa^*P$.

(II) Another approach would be to consider metric spaces up to a finite resolution :

- Consider integral operators associated to the tolerance relation R_ϵ given by $d(x, y) < \epsilon$

So first, some background on operator systems.

Operator systems

Definition (Arveson 1969, Choi-Effros 1977)

An operator system is a $*$-closed vector space E of bounded operators. Unital: it contains the identity operator.

- E is ordered: cone $E_+ \subseteq E$ of positive operators, in the sense that $T \in E_+$ iff

$$\langle \psi, T\psi \rangle \geq 0; \qquad (\psi \in \mathcal{H}).$$

- in fact, E is matrix ordered: cones $M_n(E)_+ \subseteq M_n(E)$ of positive operators on $\mathcal{H}^n$ for any n.

Maps between operator systems E, F are completely positive maps in the sense that their extensions $M_n(E) \to M_n(F)$ are positive for all n.

Isomorphisms are complete order isomorphisms

C^*-envelope of a unital operator system

Arveson introduced the notion of C^*-envelope for unital operator systems in 1969, Hamana established existence and uniqueness in 1979. Non-unital case: [Connes-vS 2020], [Kennedy–Kim–Manor 2021]

A C^*-extension $\kappa : E \to A$ of a unital operator system E is given by a complete order isomorphism onto $\kappa(E) \subseteq A$ such that $C^*(\kappa(E)) = A$.

A C^*-envelope of a unital operator system is a C^*-extension $\kappa : E \to A$ with the following universal property:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & A \\ & \searrow^{\lambda} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \exists ! \rho} \\ & & B \end{array}$$

Shilov boundaries

There is a useful description of C^*-envelopes using Shilov ideals.

Definition

Let $\kappa : E \to A$ be a C^*-extension of an operator system. A boundary ideal is given by a closed 2-sided ideal $I \subseteq A$ such that the quotient map $q : A \to A/I$ is completely isometric on $\kappa(E) \subseteq A$.

The Shilov boundary ideal is the largest of such boundary ideals.

Proposition (Hamana 1979)

Let $\kappa : E \to A$ be a C^*-extension. Then there exists a Shilov boundary ideal J and $C^*_{env}(E) \cong A/J$.

As an example consider the operator system of continuous harmonic functions $C_{\mathrm{harm}}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ on the closed disc. Then by the maximum modulus principle the Shilov boundary is S^1. Accordingly, its C^*-envelope is $C(S^1)$.

C^*-envelope via boundary representations (à la Arveson)

Dritschel–McCullough 2005, Arveson 2008, Davidson–Kennedy 2015

View E as a concrete operator system in the C^*-algebra $C^*(E)$ it generates.

- A ucp map $\phi : E \to B(\mathcal{H})$ has the *unique extension property* if is has a unique ucp extension to $C^*(E)$ which is a $*$-representation
- If, in addition, the $*$-representation is irreducible, it is called a *boundary representation*

Theorem

A unital operator system E is completely normed by its boundary representations, that is, for every $x \in M_n(E)$ there is a boundary representation π of E such that $\|x\| = \|\pi^{(n)}(x)\|$.

Corollary

The direct sum of all boundary representations of E yield a completely isometric map $\imath : E \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $(C^*(\imath(E)), \imath)$ is the C^*-envelope of E.

Propagation number of an operator system

One lets $E^{\circ n}$ be the norm closure of the linear span of products of $\leq n$ elements of E.

Definition

The propagation number prop(E) of E is defined as the smallest integer n such that $\kappa(E)^{\circ n} \subseteq C^*_{env}(E)$ is a C^*-algebra.

Returning to harmonic functions in the disk we have $\mathrm{prop}(C_{\mathrm{harm}}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})) = 1$.

Proposition (Connes-vS, 2020; Pawlowska, 2024)

The propagation number is invariant under complete order isomorphisms, as well as under stable=Morita equivalence [EKT, 2019]:

$$prop(E) = prop(E \otimes_{min} \mathcal{K})$$

More generally [Koot, 2021], we have

$$prop(E \otimes_{\mathrm{min}} F) = \max\{prop(E), prop(F)\}$$

Spectral truncation of the circle [Connes-vS, 2020]

- Eigenvectors of D_{S^1} are Fourier modes $e_k(t) = e^{ikt}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$
- Orthogonal projection $P = P_n$ onto $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$
- The space $C(S^1)^{(n)} := PC(S^1)P$ is an operator system
- Any $T = PfP$ in $C(S^1)^{(n)}$ can be written as a Toeplitz matrix

$$PfP \sim \left(t_{k-l}\right)_{kl} = \begin{pmatrix} t_0 & t_{-1} & \cdots & t_{-n+2} & t_{-n+1} \\ t_1 & t_0 & t_{-1} & & t_{-n+2} \\ \vdots & t_1 & t_0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{n-2} & & \ddots & \ddots & t_{-1} \\ t_{n-1} & t_{n-2} & \cdots & t_1 & t_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have: $C^*_{\mathrm{env}}(C(S^1)^{(n)}) \cong M_n(\mathbb{C})$

Dual operator system: Fejér–Riesz

We introduce the Fejér–Riesz operator system $C(S^1)_{(n)}$:

- functions on S^1 with a finite number of non-zero Fourier coefficients:

$$a = (\dots, 0, a_{-n+1}, a_{-n+2}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}, 0, \dots)$$

- an element a is positive iff $\sum_k a_k e^{ikx}$ is a positive function on S^1.
- The C^*-envelope of $C(S^1)_{(n)}$ is given by $C^*(\mathbb{Z})$

Proposition

1. *The extreme rays in $(C(S^1)_{(n)})_+$ are given by the elements $a = (a_k)$ for which the Laurent series $\sum_k a_k z^k$ has all its zeroes on S^1.*
2. *The pure states of $C(S^1)_{(n)}$ are given by $a \mapsto \sum_k a_k \lambda^k$ ($\lambda \in S^1$).*

Pure states on the Toeplitz matrices

Duality of $C(S^1)^{(n)}$ and $C(S^1)_{(n)}$ [Connes–vS 2020] and [Farenick 2021]:

$$C(S^1)^{(n)} \times C(S^1)_{(n)} \to \mathbb{C}$$
$$(T = (t_{k-l})_{k,l}, a = (a_k)) \mapsto \sum_k a_k t_{-k}$$

Proposition

1. *The extreme rays in* $C(S^1)^{(n)}_+$ *are* $\gamma(\lambda) = |f_\lambda\rangle\langle f_\lambda|$ *for any* $\lambda \in S^1$.
2. *The pure state space* $\mathcal{P}(C(S^1)^{(n+1)}) \cong \mathbb{T}^n/S_n$.

Curiosities on Toeplitz matrices

Theorem (Carathéodory)

Let T be an $n \times n$ Toeplitz matrix. Then $T \geq 0$ iff $T = V\Delta V^*$ with

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & & \\ & d_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & d_n \end{pmatrix}; \qquad V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_n \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{n-1} & \lambda_2^{n-1} & \cdots & \lambda_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $d_1, \ldots, d_n \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in S^1$.